

## Prop. 36 Treatment Completion Rates

As Provided by State ADP\*

Sorted by 2004/05 Completion Rates

### Larger Counties\*\*

	2004/05 Completion***
1	Santa Cruz 58%
2	Yolo 51%
3	Santa Clara 49%
4	Marin 47%
5	Contra Costa 46%
6	Humboldt 46%
7	Placer 46%
8	Butte 42%
9	Fresno 41%
10	Napa 40%
11	Riverside 40%
12	Sonoma 40%
13	San Mateo 38%
14	Stanislaus 36%
15	El Dorado 34%
16	Imperial 34%
17	San Bernardino 34%
18	Kern 32%
19	Shasta 32%
20	Tulare 32%
21	Monterey 28%
22	San Diego 28%
23	Sacramento 27%
24	Solano 26%
25	San Luis Obispo 25%
26	Ventura 25%
27	Orange 23%
28	Alameda 22%
29	San Francisco 21%
30	Los Angeles 20%
31	San Joaquin 19%
32	Merced 16%
33	Madera 13%
34	Santa Barbara 13%
35	Kings 12%

### Minimum Base Counties

	2004/05 Completion***
1	Amador 51%
2	San Benito 46%
3	Tehama 43%
4	Lassen 40%
5	Mariposa 40%
6	Nevada 36%
7	Inyo 32%
8	Trinity 30%
9	Modoc 25%
10	Plumas 25%
11	Yuba 23%
12	Mendocino 21%
13	Calaveras 20%
14	Lake 20%
15	Glenn 19%
16	Tuolumne 19%
17	Siskiyou 11%
18	Del Norte 7%
19	Alpine 0%
20	Colusa -
21	Mono -
22	Sierra -
23	Sutter -

\* The State Department of Alcohol & Drug Programs calculated and published these data in Nov. 2006 as part of a grant process for funds awarded under the Offender Treatment Program (OTP). The OTP program, created in the 2006-07 budget, awards money to counties based, in part, on their completion rates for Prop. 36 clients.

\*\* Larger counties have populations of 100,000 or greater.

\*\*\* These treatment completion rates were not calculated using the same time periods or methodology used to calculate Prop. 36 completion rates for 2001-02 and 2002-03, released by state ADP in Nov. 2005. For this reason the data here cannot be compared to those earlier completion data.