California Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs

Substance Abuse and Crime Prevention Act of 2000 (SACPA – Proposition 36)



Fourth Annual Report to the Legislature August 2005

Chronology of Annual Reports to the Legislature

- First Annual Report
 Released November 2002
- Second Annual Report
 Released December 2003
- Third Annual Report
 Released July 2005
- Fourth Annual Report

 Anticipated release August 2005
- Will be announced as information is available

INTRODUCTION

The 4th Annual Report to Legislature covers the period July 1, 2003 through June 30, 2004, the third full year of SACPA.

Highlights of the UCLA Evaluation Findings Treatment Performance

- To increase client retention once in treatment, counties are improving their assessment procedures; co-locating probation and assessment staff, locating assessment centers near the court, etc.
- SACPA offenders are doing as well as other drug users in treatment.

• 4th Annual Report to Legislature (cont.)

Highlights of the UCLA Evaluation Findings

Ethnic Differences in Treatment Performance

Treatment completion rates were lower and treatment duration was shorter for African-Americans, Hispanics, and Native Americans than for Whites and Asian/Pacific Islanders.

Race/ethnic differences in SACPA were parallel to those for non-SACPA clients.

Program Implementation and Operation

Statewide Collaboration

- FY 03-04 implementation efforts were gradually replaced by ongoing statewide and local collaboration focused on improving program practices and offender outcomes.
- Statewide Advisory Group
- Evaluation Advisory Group
- State Agency Meetings
- Judicial Counsel of California, Administrative Office of the Courts
- Parolee Subcommittee Meetings

Program Implementation and Operation SACPA administration

- In FY 03-04, 13 ACLA's were issued providing program guidance, policy clarification and operational information.
- Allocation Regulations were adopted increasing distribution of funds to counties with large SACPA treatment caseloads.

Program Implementation and Operation

Training and Technical Assistance

- CLAIM: September 28-29, 2003 & MIW: February 4_6, 2004
- Center for Substance Abuse Treatment (CSAT): Additional Technical Assistance made available to counties.
- UCSD-CARTA: Technical Assistance provided at the county level.
- California State University, Bakersfield (CSUB): SACPA Reporting Information System (SRIS)
- On-site Technical Assistance and Program Review was developed and implemented through the Office of Criminal Justice Collaboration.

Program Implementation and Operation

County Plans

- 76.1% of SACPA funds was projected for Drug Treatment and Related Services.
- 23.9% of SACPA funds was projected for Criminal Justice.
- Counties projected a decrease by a total of 2400 in offender referrals.

Program Implementation and Operation Audits

17 county audit reports covering expenditures for FY 2001-02 were issued.

Audits' identified compliance issues and recommended improvements to processes in areas such as proper allocation costs.

Program Implementation and Operation Service capacity and service delivery

- 1,061 programs in existence prior to SACPA: 663 licensed residential facilities and 398 certified outpatient programs.
- 1,766 programs after three years of SACPA: 842 licensed residential facilities with a bed capacity of 20,156 and 924 certified outpatient programs.
- Since SACPA began, the number of program sites increased by 705 (an increase of 66 percent).
- Licensed residential facilities increased by 179 (27 percent increase).
- Certified outpatient programs increased by 526 (132 percent increase)
 Statewide, residential bed capacity increased 26.6 percent, adding 4,229 beds.

Program Funding & Expenditure

Allocation and distribution of funds

- \$117,022,956 was distributed to counties.
- \$600,000 (.6%) was provided to cover the long-term UCLA evaluation costs.
- \$3,464,359 state administrative costs.
- Counties spent \$134,356,059 for all services and activities in FY 2003-04.
- These expenditures were 71.5 percent of the total SACPA funds available.

Conclusion

• Questions?